arXiv:1612.01257v1 [math.DG] 5 Dec 2016

HEIGHT ESTIMATES FOR KILLING GRAPHS

DEBORA IMPERA, JORGE H. DE LIRA, STEFANO PIGOLA, AND ALBERTO G. SETTI

Abstract. The paper aims at proving global height estimates for Killing graphs defined over a complete manifold with nonempty boundary. To this end, we first point out how the geometric analysis on a Killing graph is naturally related to a weighted manifold structure, where the weight is defined in terms of the length of the Killing vector field. According to this viewpoint, we introduce some potential theory on weighted manifolds with boundary and we prove a weighted volume estimate for intrinsic balls on the Killing graph. Finally, using these tools, we provide the desired estimate for the weighted height in the assumption that the Killing graph has constant weighted mean curvature and the weighted geometry of the ambient space is suitably controlled.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Hypersurfaces with constant weighted mean curvature 3
2.1. Killing graphs and weighted manifolds 5
2.2. Hypersurfaces with constant weighted mean curvature 8
3. Some potential theory on weighted manifolds 13
4. Height estimates 19
4.1. Some basic formulas 19
4.2. Weighted volume estimates 21
4.3. Killing graphs with constant weighted mean curvature 23
References 25

1. Introduction

Let $\left(M^{n+1}, g_M\right)$ be a complete, $(n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold endowed with a complete Killing vector field Y whose orthogonal distribution has constant rank n and it is integrable. Let (P^n, g_P) be an integral leaf of that distribution equipped with its induced complete Riemannian metric g_P. The flow $\vartheta : \mathbb{R} \times P \to M$ generated by Y is an isometry between M and the warped product $P \times_{1/\sqrt{\gamma}} \mathbb{R}$ with metric $g = g_P + \frac{1}{\gamma} ds^2$ where s is the flow parameter and $\gamma = |Y|^{-2}$.

Date: December 6, 2016.

Let $\Omega \subset P$ be a, possibly unbounded, domain with regular boundary $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. The Killing graph of a smooth function $u : \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ parametrized by the map

$$X(x) = \vartheta(u(x), x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Obviously, if Y is a parallel vector field, then M is isometric with the Riemannian product $P \times \mathbb{R}$ and the notion of a Killing graph reduces to that of a usual vertical graph.

The aim of this paper is to obtain quantitative height estimates for a smooth Killing graph $\Sigma = Graph_{\Omega}(u) \hookrightarrow M$ parametrized over a possibly unbounded domain $\Omega \subset P$ and whose smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ is contained in the totally geodesic slice $P \times \{0\}$ of M.

When $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$ and the ambient manifold is the Riemannian product $P \times \mathbb{R}$, it is well understood that quantitative a-priori estimates can be deduced by assuming that the mean curvature H of the graph is constant (*CMC graphs* for short). For bounded domains into the Euclidean plane $P = \mathbb{R}^2$ this was first observed in seminal papers by E. Heinze and J. Serrin. More precisely, assume that $H > 0$ with respect to the *downward pointing Gauss map* $\mathcal{N}$. Then, Σ is confined into the slab $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 1/H]$ regardless of the size of the domain Ω. This type of estimates has been recently extended to unbounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by A. Ros and H. Rosenberg, [12]. Their technique, which is based on smooth convergence of CMC surfaces, requires strongly that the base leaf $P = \mathbb{R}^2$ is homogeneous and cannot be trivially adapted to general manifolds. In the case of a generic base manifold P, and maintaining the assumption that the CMC vertical graph Σ is parametrized over a bounded domain, the corresponding height estimates have been obtained by D. Hoffman, J.H. Lira and H. Rosenberg, [7], J.A. Aledo, J.M. Espinar and J.A. Galvez, [1], L. Alias and M. Dajczer, [2] etc. The geometry of P enters the game in the form of curvature conditions, namely, the Ricci curvature of P cannot be too much negative when compared with H. In particular, for non-negatively Ricci curved bases the height estimates hold with respect to any choice of $H > 0$. In the very recent [8], the boundedness assumption on the domain Ω has been replaced by a quadratic volume growth condition, thus obtaining a complete extension of the Ros-Rosenberg result to any complete manifold P with non-negative sectional curvature and dimensions $n \leq 4$. The restriction on the dimension is due to the fact that, up to now, it is not known whether CMC graphs over non-negatively curved manifolds P are necessarily contained in a vertical slab. Granted this, the desired estimates can be obtained.

In a slightly different perspective, qualitative bounds of the height of CMC vertical graphs on bounded domains have been obtained by J. Spruck, [14]. It is worth to observe that his technique, based on Serrin-type gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities, is robust enough to give a-priori bounds even in the case where the mean curvature is non-constant. Actually, it works even for Killing graphs up to using the work by M. Dajczer and J.H. Lira, [3]. However, in the Killing setting, the problem of obtaining quantitative bounds both on bounded and on unbounded domains remained open.

Due to the structure of the ambient space, it is reasonable to expect that an a-priori estimate of the height function of a CMC Killing graph is sensitive of the deformation

function γ. In fact, since the length element of the fibre $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}$ is weighted by a factor $1/\sqrt{\gamma(x)}$ a reasonable pointwise bound should be of the form $u(x)/\sqrt{\gamma(x)} \leq 1/H$. Moreover, the Riemanian measure of Σ induced by the ambient space M is given by $d\Sigma = \sqrt{\gamma + |\nabla u|^2} \cdot \gamma^{-1/2} dP$. This suggests that there may be an intriguing interplay between the geometry of the Killing graph and the geometric properties of a smooth metric measure space of the type $\left(P, g_P, e^{a \log \gamma} dP\right)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall see in the next sections that this is in fact the case and, indeed, that the notion of mean curvature of hypersurfaces in weighted ambient spaces plays a key role in obtaining the desired height estimates.

2. Hypersurfaces with constant weighted mean curvature

In the following we will denote by ∇, div, Δ metric objects defined on (M, g), while corresponding objects defined on P, Σ, etc., will be denoted with superscripts P, Σ, etc.

We are going to consider a distinguished class of densities f in M for which the Killing vector field Y preserves the weighted volume. This implies a kind of conservation law for the weighted volume of hypersurfaces which we will state in terms of a weighted flux formula.

In general, given a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, we consider the weighted manifold

$$M_f = (M, g, \mathrm{d}M_f),$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}M_f = e^{-f} \mathrm{d}M$$

is a measure absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian measure $\mathrm{d}M$ of M.

In particular, the weighted volume of the metric ball $B_R(o) \subset M$ centered at some reference point $o \in M$ is given by

$$\mathrm{vol}_f(B_R(o)) = \int_{B_R(o)} \mathrm{d}M_f.$$

Similarly, if $\mathrm{d}M^{n-1}$ represents the $(n-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the metric sphere $\partial B_R(o)$ then

$$\mathrm{vol}_f(\partial B_R(o)) = \int_{\partial B_R(o)} e^{-f} \mathrm{d}M^{n-1}.$$

Associated to M_f there is a natural drifted Laplacian, called the f-Laplacian, which is defined by

$$\Delta_f v = e^f \operatorname{div}(e^{-f} \nabla v) = \Delta v - \langle \nabla f, \nabla v \rangle, \quad v \in C^\infty(M),$$

Clearly, Δ_f is symmetric in the weighted space $L^2(M_f)$ of measurable functions on M that are L^2-integrable with respect to the weighted measure $\mathrm{d}M_f$. More generally, one can introduce the f-divergence of a vector field Z on M by setting

$$\operatorname{div}_f Z = e^f \operatorname{div}(e^{-f} Z)$$

in such a way that the usual relation $\Delta_f v = \mathrm{div}_f \nabla v$ holds. The weighted version of the divergence theorem states that, for any domain $U \Subset M$ with smooth boundary ∂U in the Riemannian manifold M, it holds

$$\int_U \mathrm{div}_f\, Z \mathrm{d}M_f = \int_{\partial U} \langle Z, \nu\rangle \mathrm{d}M_f^{n-1},$$

where ν denotes the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\mathrm{d}M_f^{n-1} = e^{-f}\mathrm{d}M^{n-1}$.

The analysis of the drifted Laplacian Δ_f and the weighted geometry of M_f are deeply related with a family of Ricci tensors introduced by Bakry-Emery and that we write in the form

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{f,k} = \mathrm{Ric} + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{k} df \otimes df,$$

where $k \in (0, +\infty]$ and Ric denotes the Ricci tensor in (M, g). If $k = +\infty$, we simply set

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{f,\infty} = \mathrm{Ric}_f.$$

Given a hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ (possibly with $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$) the weighted n-volume associated to f is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_f[\Sigma] := \int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f. \tag{1}$$

We are not concerned with the convergence of the integral. Given a compactly supported variational vector field Z along Σ the first variation formula reads

$$\delta_Z \mathcal{A}_f = \int_\Sigma (\mathrm{div}^\Sigma Z - \langle \nabla f, Z\rangle)\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f. \tag{2}$$

In particular, if $Z = vN$ for some $v \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have

$$\delta_Z \mathcal{A}_f = \int_\Sigma (-nH - \langle \nabla f, N\rangle) v \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f = -n \int_\Sigma H_f v \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f, \tag{3}$$

where, by definition,

$$H_f = H + \frac{1}{n}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle \tag{4}$$

is the f-weighted mean curvature of Σ.

Going back to the case where M supports a complete integrable Killing vector field Y with integral leaf P, we assume that f is constant along the flow lines of Y, so that it may be viewed as a function on P. We can then regard the f-weighted mean curvature as the restriction to Σ of a function on M, still denoted by H_f, which is constant along the flow lines of Y. Under this assumption, and recalling that, since Y is Killing, $\langle \nabla_X Y, X\rangle = 0$ for all X, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}_f(H_f Y) &= H_f \mathrm{div}_f Y + \langle \nabla H_f, Y\rangle \\ &= H_f(\mathrm{div} Y - \langle \nabla f, Y\rangle) + \langle \nabla H_f, Y\rangle \\ &= -H_f\langle \nabla f, Y\rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that Y is divergence-free and that f is constant along the flow lines of Y.

Now let $\Xi \subset M$ be a hypersurface with boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Xi$ in such a way that $\Sigma \cup \Xi$ bounds a compact domain $U \subset M$. Hence, letting N and N_Ξ denote the outward pointing unit normals to Σ and Ξ, respectively, we have

$$0 = \int_U \mathrm{div}_f(H_f Y)\mathrm{d}M_f = \int_\Sigma H_f\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f + \int_\Xi H_f\langle Y, N_\Xi\rangle e^f \mathrm{d}\Xi_f.$$

However, if we consider an orthonormal frame $N, e_1, \ldots, e_n$ adapted to Σ we have

$$0 = \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i} Y, e_i\rangle = \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i} Y^T, e_i\rangle + \langle Y, N\rangle \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_i\rangle = \mathrm{div}_\Sigma Y^T - nH\langle Y, N\rangle,$$

and, recalling that $\langle \nabla f, Y\rangle = 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \mathrm{div}_f^\Sigma Y^T \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f &= \int_\Sigma (\mathrm{div}^\Sigma Y^T - \langle \nabla^\Sigma f, Y^T\rangle)\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f \\
&= \int_\Sigma (nH\langle Y, N\rangle - \langle \nabla^\Sigma f, Y^T\rangle)\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f \\
&= \int_\Sigma (nH\langle Y, N\rangle - \langle \nabla f, Y\rangle + \langle \nabla f, N\rangle\langle Y, N\rangle)\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f \\
&= \int_\Sigma (nH + \langle \nabla f, N\rangle)\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_\Sigma \mathrm{div}_f^\Sigma Y^T \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f = \int_\Sigma nH_f\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f \tag{5}$$

On the other hand

$$\int_\Sigma \mathrm{div}_f^\Sigma Y^T \mathrm{d}\Sigma_f = \int_\Gamma \langle Y, \nu\rangle e^{-f}\mathrm{d}\Gamma. \tag{6}$$

where ν is the exterior unit co-normal of Σ along Γ. Combining these expressions yields the *flux formula*

$$\int_\Xi nH_f\langle Y, N_\Xi\rangle \mathrm{d}\Xi_f + \int_\Gamma \langle Y, \nu\rangle \mathrm{d}\Gamma_f = 0. \tag{7}$$

2.1. **Killing graphs and weighted manifolds.** Let M^{n+1} be a complete $(n+1)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold endowed with a complete Killing vector field Y whose orthogonal distribution has constant rank n and it is integrable. Then M is isometric to the warped product manifold $P \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$, where P is an integral leaf of the foliation given by the flow generated by Y and we are setting $\psi = -\log|Y|$. Given a smooth domain $\Omega \subset P$ with non empty boundary $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, we denote by Σ the Killing graph over Ω. It is then not difficult to prove that the induced metric on the Killing graph Σ of u is given by

$$g_P + e^{-2\psi} du \otimes du. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, the upward pointing unit normal N to Σ and its volume element $\mathrm{d}\Sigma$ are given by

$$N = \frac{e^{2\psi}Y - \vartheta_*\nabla^P u}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}} = \frac{1}{W}\big(e^{2\psi}Y - \vartheta_*\nabla^P u\big) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma = e^{-\psi}\sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}\,\mathrm{d}P = W\mathrm{d}P_\psi,$$

respectively, where $W = \sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}$ and $\mathrm{d}P$ is the volume element in P. Note that N is upward pointing in the sense that $\langle N, Y\rangle = \frac{1}{W} > 0$.

Notice also that the arc-length parameter of the flow lines of Y is given by

$$\varsigma = e^{-\psi}s.$$

Let $H : \bar{\Omega} \subseteq P \to \mathbb{R}$ be a given function. Denoting the weighted volume functional of Σ by $\mathcal{A}_f[u]$, the first variation formula of the constrained functional

$$\mathcal{A}_{f,H}[u] := \mathcal{A}_f[u] + \int_\Sigma \int_0^{ue^{-\psi}} nH\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f \mathrm{d}\varsigma = \int_\Sigma \big(1 + nHue^{-\psi}\big)\mathrm{d}\Sigma_f, \tag{10}$$

may be obtained as follows. Given an arbitrary function $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}\mathcal{A}_{f,H}[u+\tau v] &= \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\langle \nabla^P u, \nabla^P v\rangle}{W} + nHv\right)e^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}P_f \\ &= -\int_\Omega \left(\mathrm{div}_f^P\Big(\frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\Big) - \langle \nabla^P \psi, \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\rangle - nH\right)ve^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}P_f.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that stationary functions for the functional $\mathcal{A}_{f,H}$ satisfy the divergence-form equation

$$\mathrm{div}_f^P\Big(\frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\Big) - \langle \nabla^P \psi, \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\rangle = nH. \tag{11}$$

The latter can also be written as

$$\mathrm{div}_f^P\Big(\frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\Big) = nH_{-\psi}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$H_{-\psi} = H - \frac{1}{n}\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle. \tag{13}$$

Then, according to the formalism introduced above, the prescribed weighted mean curvature equation (with $f = 1$) in M takes the more suggestive form

$$\mathrm{div}^P \frac{\nabla^P u}{W} = nH_{-\psi}. \tag{14}$$

For instance, using this interpretation, we shall see in Section 4 how to get volume growth information on Σ starting from weighted volume growth conditions on P_f.

Actually, it turns out that equation (14) is not the only weighted aspect relevant in the theory of Killing graphs. Indeed, from a different viewpoint, the prescribed mean curvature equation may be also written as

$$\mathrm{div}_\psi^P \frac{\nabla^P u}{W} = nH. \tag{15}$$

Hence, if Ω is a relatively compact domain in P with boundary $\partial\Omega = \Gamma$ and outward pointing unit normal $\nu_0 \in TP$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega nH \mathrm{d}P_\psi &= \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}_\psi^P \left(\frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\right) \mathrm{d}P_\psi = \int_\Omega e^\psi \mathrm{div}^P \left(\frac{e^{-\psi}\nabla^P u}{W}\right) e^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}P \\ &= \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}^P \left(\frac{e^{-\psi}\nabla^P u}{W}\right) \mathrm{d}P = \int_\Gamma \langle \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}, \nu_0\rangle e^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}\Gamma\end{aligned}$$

If H has constant sign, multiplying by -1 if necessary, we deduce that

$$\int_\Omega n|H|e^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}P \leq \int_\Gamma |\langle \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}, \nu_0\rangle| e^{-\psi}\mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

and recalling that $|\nabla^P u|/W \leq 1$, we conclude that

$$n \inf_\Omega |H| \int_\Omega \mathrm{d}P_\psi \leq \int_\Gamma \mathrm{d}\Gamma_\psi. \tag{16}$$

Since (16) certainly holds if H has not constant sign, for then it must necessarily vanish in Ω, and $\inf_\Omega |H| = 0$, we have thus obtained the following weighted version of a result by I. M. Salavessa [13]. Define a "weighted" Cheeger constant by the formula

$$\mathfrak{b}_\psi = \inf_{\Omega'} \frac{\mathcal{A}_\psi(\partial\Omega')}{\mathcal{A}_\psi(\Omega')}, \tag{17}$$

where Ω' is a bounded subdomain in Ω with regular boundary and compact closure in Ω. Then

Theorem 1. *The mean curvature H of a Killing graph Σ defined over $\Omega \subset P$ satisfies*

$$n \inf_\Omega |H| \leq \mathfrak{b}_\psi. \tag{18}$$

In particular, if P has zero weighted Cheeger constant, and Σ has constant mean curvature H, then Σ is a minimal graph.

¿From another point of view, the flux formula (7) holds in the particular case of graphs with constant mean curvature H over a domain Ω in P whose restriction to $\partial\Omega = \Gamma$ lie on P (or, more generally, on a translated slice). Indeed, in this case, we have

$$nH_\psi = nH - \langle \nabla^P \psi, \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}\rangle$$

where the right-hand side is constant along the flow lines of Y. In this particular setting, using the fact that $e^{\psi} = 1/|Y|$, and that $N_\Omega = Y/|Y|$, it follows from (7) that

$$nH|\Omega| + \int_\Omega \langle \nabla^P \psi, \frac{\nabla^P u}{W} \rangle \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Gamma \langle \frac{Y}{|Y|}, \nu \rangle \mathrm{d}\Gamma = 0, \tag{19}$$

and therefore

$$n|H||\Omega| - \int_\Omega |\nabla^P \psi| \mathrm{d}P \leq |\Gamma|.$$

Formulae (19) suggests that the quantity $\langle \frac{\nabla^P u}{W}, \nabla^P \psi \rangle$ should naturally play a role in the height estimates that we are seeking. As we will see in the next section, the flux formula is also useful in the construction of examples of constant mean curvature Killing graphs.

2.2. **Hypersurfaces with constant weighted mean curvature.** In this section we construct examples of compact Killing graphs with constant weighted mean curvature and exhibit explicit estimates on the maximum of their weighted height in terms of the mean curvature. When the base space is $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the Killing vector field has constant length 1 (hence the ambient space is $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$) these graphs are standard half-spheres and the estimate on their maximal height is precisely the reciprocal of the mean curvature.

We shall assume that the induced metric g_P on P is rotationally invariant. More precisely, we suppose that P is a model space with pole at o and Gaussian coordinates $(r, \theta) \in (0, R) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $R \in (0, +\infty]$, in terms of which g_P is expressed by

$$g_P = dr^2 + \xi^2(r) d\theta^2,$$

for some $\xi \in C^\infty([0, R))$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \xi > 0, \text{ on } (0, R) \\ \xi^{(2k)}(0) = 0 \\ \xi'(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

and where $d\theta^2$ denotes the usual metric in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We also assume that the norm of the Killing field does not depend on θ, so that

$$|Y|^2 = e^{-2\psi(r)},$$

In this case, the ambient metric g_M of $M = P \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$ is written in terms of cylindrical coordinates s, r, θ as

$$g_M = e^{-2\psi(r)} ds^2 + dr^2 + \xi^2(r) d\theta^2.$$

and M is a doubly-warped product with respect to warping functions of the coordinate r. The smoothness of ψ implies that the pole o is a critical point for $e^{-\psi}$, namely

$$\frac{de^{-\psi(r)}}{dr}(0) = -e^{-\psi(r)} \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} = 0.$$

A rotationally invariant hypersurface Σ_0 is parametrized by an isometric immersion $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to M$ whose coordinate expression is

$$(t, \theta) \mapsto (s(t), r(t), \theta),$$

where t is the arc-length parameter of the profile curve $\theta = \theta_0$ on Σ_0. This means that t is defined by

$$e^{-2\psi(r)}\dot{s}^2 + \dot{r}^2 = 1, \tag{20}$$

where $\cdot$ denotes derivatives with respect to t, and that the induced metric in Σ_0 is

$$dt^2 + \xi^2(t)d\theta^2.$$

Let us assume that

$$\dot{s} \geq 0, \text{ and } \dot{r} \leq 0.$$

If Σ_0 has constant weighted mean curvature $H_\psi = H_0 < 0$ with respect to the upward pointing unit normal, then it satisfies a first order equation given by the flux formula. To see this, we apply the flux formula (7) for a portion Σ of Σ_0 between two slices $P \times \{s(t)\}$ and $P \times \{s(t')\}$ with $t \leq t'$. We denote by $\Xi = D(t) \cup D(t')$ where $D(t)$ and $D(t')$ are the geodesic discs bounded by $\Sigma \cap P \times \{s(t)\}$ and by $\Sigma \cap P \times \{s(t')\}$, respectively, and oriented in a such a way that $\Sigma \cup D(t) \cup D(t')$ bounds an oriented cycle U in M. Thus, (7) implies that

$$\int_\Xi nH_0 \langle Y, N_\Xi \rangle \, d\Xi_\psi + \int_\Gamma \langle Y, \nu \rangle \, d\Gamma_\psi = 0, \tag{21}$$

where, we recall, $\Gamma = \partial\Xi$. Observe that $N_\Xi = \frac{Y}{|Y|}$ on $D(t')$, $N_\Xi = -\frac{Y}{|Y|}$ on $D(t)$. Furthermore, the outer co-normal ν along $\partial(\Sigma \cap P \times [s(t), s(t')])$ is the velocity vector ∂_t of the profile curve on $\partial D(t')$ and $-\partial_t$ on $\partial D(t)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D(t')} nH_0 \langle Y, \frac{Y}{|Y|} \rangle d\Xi_\psi\left(t'\right) + \int_{\partial D(t')} \langle Y, \partial_t \rangle \, d\Gamma_\psi\left(t'\right) \\
&= \int_{D(t)} nH_0 \langle Y, \frac{Y}{|Y|} \rangle d\Xi_\psi(t) + \int_{\partial D(t)} \langle Y, \partial_t \rangle \;\; d\Gamma_\psi(t),
\end{aligned}$$

proving that the function

$$t \longmapsto \int_{D(t)} nH_0 \langle Y, \frac{Y}{|Y|} \rangle d\Xi_\psi(t) + \int_{\partial D(t)} \langle Y, \partial_t \rangle \, d\Gamma_\psi(t) \tag{22}$$

is constant.

We can give an explicit expression to this constant function. To this end, note that $\partial_t = \dot{s}\partial_s + \dot{r}\partial_r$ and that the Killing vector field Y corresponds to the coordinate vector field ∂_s. Thus, one has

$$\langle Y, \partial_t \rangle = e^{-2\psi(r(t))}\dot{s}(t) \quad \text{ and } \quad \langle Y, \frac{Y}{|Y|} \rangle = e^{-\psi(r(t))}.$$

Hence, inserting these expressions into (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{const.} &\equiv \int_{D(t)} nH_0 e^{-2\psi} d\Xi + \int_{\partial D(t)} \dot{s} e^{-3\psi} d\Gamma \\ &= nH_0 \int_0^{r(t)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{-2\psi} \xi^{n-1}\, dr d\theta \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \dot{s}\left(r\left(t\right)\right) e^{-3\psi(r(t))} \xi^{n-1}\left(r\left(t\right)\right)\, d\theta \\ &= n\omega_{n-1} H_0 \int_0^{r(t)} e^{-2\psi(r)} \xi^{n-1}\left(r\right) dr \\ &+ \omega_{n-1} \dot{s}(r\left(t\right)) e^{-3\psi(r(t))} \xi^{n-1}(r\left(t\right)),\end{aligned}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. It follows that the flux

$$I\left(t\right) := nH_0 \int_0^{r(t)} e^{-2\psi(\tau)} \xi^{n-1}\left(\tau\right)\ d\tau + \dot{s}\left(t\right) e^{-3\psi(r(t))} \xi^{n-1}(r\left(t\right)) \tag{23}$$

is constant in Σ_0. Differentiating both sides of this expression with respect to t gives a second order ODE which characterizes rotationally invariant hypersurfaces with constant weighted mean curvature in M.

We verify that, if $I = 0$, then $s\left(t\right)$ has a stationary point at points where $r\left(t\right) = 0$. Indeed, if $I = 0$, then (23) reduces to

$$e^{-3\psi(r(t))} \xi^{n-1}\left(r\left(t\right)\right) \dot{s}\left(t\right) = -nH_0 \int_0^{r(t)} e^{-2\psi(\tau)} \xi^{n-1}(\tau)\, d\tau \tag{24}$$

Since $\xi(0) = 0$, $d\xi/dr(0) = 1$ and $d\psi/dr\left(0\right) = 0$, an application of L'Hôpital's rule shows that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\int_0^r e^{-2\psi} \xi^{n-1}\, d\tau}{e^{-3\psi(r)} \xi^{n-1}(r)} = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{e^{\psi(r)} \xi(r)}{-3\frac{d\psi}{dr}\xi(r) + (n-1)\frac{d\xi}{dr}} = 0,$$

and we conclude that $\dot{s} \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, as claimed.

Since $\dot{r} = 0$ if and only if $e^{-2\psi}\dot{s}^2 = 1$, that is, if and only if $e^{-\psi}\dot{s} = 1$, because $\dot{s} \geq 0$, (24) also implies that $\dot{r} = 0$ if and only if there exists $r_0 \in (0, R)$ such that

$$nH_0 = -\frac{e^{-2\psi(r_0)} \xi^{n-1}(r_0)}{\int_0^{r_0} e^{-2\psi(r)} \xi^{n-1}(r)\ dr} \tag{25}$$

In this case we can guarantee the existence of a solution of the first-order system

$$\dot{r} = \cos\phi, \tag{26}$$

$$e^{-\psi}\dot{s} = \sin\phi, \tag{27}$$

with initial conditions $s(0)=0$, $r(0)=r_0$ and $\phi(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}$. In the interval $[0,r_0)$ on which $\dot r\neq 0$ this solution is given by the graph of $s=s(r)$ where

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dr}\right)^2=\frac{n^2H_0^2(\int_0^r e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}\,d\tau)^2}{e^{-2\psi(r)}\left\{\left(e^{-2\psi(r)}\xi^{n-1}(r)\right)^2-n^2H_0^2(\int_0^r e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}\,d\tau)^2\right\}}. \tag{28}$$

Indeed, from (24) we have

$$n^2H_0^2\left(\int_0^r e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}\,d\tau\right)^2=e^{-4\psi}\xi^{2n-2}\left(e^{-2\psi}\dot s^2\right) \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}\dot s^2=\left(\frac{ds}{dr}\right)^2\dot r^2\\ e^{-2\psi}\dot s^2+\dot r^2=1\end{cases}$$

so that

$$\dot s^2=\frac{\left(\frac{ds}{dr}\right)^2}{1+e^{-2\psi}\left(\frac{ds}{dr}\right)^2}.$$

The desired equation (28) now follows replacing this expression in (29) and elaborating.

Thus, on the geodesic ball $B^P_{r_0}(o)$, $0<r_0<R$, we are able to define explicitly a Killing graph Σ_0 with boundary on $\partial B^P_{r_0}(o)\times 0$ (i.e., $s(r_0)=s_0=0$) and with constant weighted mean curvature $H_0<0$. Indeed, recalling that

$$0<\dot s=\frac{ds}{dr}\dot r,\text{ and }\dot r<0,$$

we get $ds/dr<0$ on $[0,r_0)$. Hence, from (28) we obtain

$$s(r)=\int_0^r\frac{-nH_0\int_0^z e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}d\tau}{e^{-\psi}\sqrt{(e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1})^2-\left(nH_0\int_0^z e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}d\tau\right)^2}}dz, \tag{30}$$

where, we recall, H_0 satisfies (25). We observe that, from (30) we can also obtain some explicit estimate on the weighted height of the graph. Indeed,

$$s(r)\,e^{-\psi(r)}\leq\frac{\max_{[0,r_0]}e^{-\psi}}{\min_{[0,r_0]}e^{-\psi}}\int_0^{r_0}\frac{-nH_0\int_0^z e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}d\tau}{\sqrt{(e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1})^2-\left(nH_0\int_0^z e^{-2\psi}\xi^{n-1}d\tau\right)^2}}dz.$$

It is worth to point out that, in the classical situation where $e^{-\psi}=|Y|\equiv 1$ and $\xi(r)=r$, the Killing graph defined by $s(r)$ reduces to the standard sphere and the above estimates give rise to the expected sharp bound

$$s(r)\leq-\frac{1}{H_0}.$$

Actually, a similar conclusion can be achieved if we choose $\psi(r)$ in such a way that

$$e^{-2\psi(r)}\xi^{n-1}(r)=r^{n-1}.$$

Note that this choice is possible and compatible with the request $d\psi/dr\,(0) = 0$ because $\xi\,(r)$ is odd at the origin. We have thus obtained the following

Theorem 2. *Let $P = [0,R) \times_\xi \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be an n-dimensional model manifold with warping function ξ and let $\psi : [0,R) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the smooth, even function defined by*

$$\psi\,(t) = c \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} \log \frac{\xi(t)}{t} & t \neq 0 \\ 1 & t = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c > 0$ is a given constant. Fix $0 < r_0 < R$, let $H_0 = -1/r_0$ and define

$$u\,(r) = \int_0^{r_0} \frac{-H_0 z}{\sqrt{1 - (H_0 z)^2}} e^{\psi(z)} dz.$$

Then, in the ambient manifold $M = P \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$, $\Sigma = Graph\,(u)$ is a Killing graph over $\Omega = B^P_{r_0}\,(o) \subset P$ of constant weighted mean curvature $H_\psi \equiv H_0 < 0$ with respect to the upward pointing Gauss map. Moreover,

$$0 \leq u\,(r)\, e^{-\psi(r)} \leq \frac{\max_{[0,r_0]} e^{-\psi}}{\min_{[0,r_0]} e^{-\psi}} \cdot \frac{1}{|H_0|} = e^{\sup_\Omega \psi - \inf_\Omega \psi} \frac{1}{|H_0|}.$$

Remark 3. This is the estimate we shall obtain in Theorem 15 below, where we will consider a Killing graph of constant weighted mean curvature, parametrized over a possibly unbounded domain.

It would be interesting to compute the lower bound of the Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature of the ambient space $M = P \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$, where P and ψ are defined in Theorem 2. This amounts to verifying the condition

$$\mathrm{Ric}^M_\psi = \mathrm{Ric}^M + \mathrm{Hess}^M \psi \geq C$$

with

$$\psi = \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\log \xi\,(r) - \log r\right) \tag{31}$$

for some natural choices of ξ. The special case where $C = 0$ would be the main goal. Unfortunately, these conditions are quite difficult to handle. For the sake of completeness, and to give an idea of the difficulties involved, recall that (see, [10], Cor. 43 and Prop. 35, Ch. 7)

Lemma 4 (Bishop-O'Neill)**.** *The Ricci tensor of a warped product $M = P \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the metric $g_M = g_P + e^{-2\psi} ds \otimes ds$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ric}^M = \mathrm{Ric}^P + \mathrm{Hess}^P \psi - d\psi \otimes d\psi + \left(\Delta^P \psi - \left|\nabla^P \psi\right|^2\right) e^{-2\psi} ds \otimes ds$$

whereas the Hessian of the function ψ is given by

$$\mathrm{Hess}^M \psi = \mathrm{Hess}^P \psi + e^{-2\psi} \left|\nabla^P \psi\right|^2 ds \otimes ds.$$

In particular

$$\mathrm{Ric}^M_\psi = \mathrm{Ric}^P_\psi + \left(\mathrm{Hess}^P \psi - d\psi \otimes d\psi\right) + e^{-2\psi} \Delta^P \psi ds \otimes ds.$$

We also have that

Lemma 5 (Bishop-O'Neill)**.** *The Ricci tensor of the model manifold* $P = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times_{\xi} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ *endowed with the metric* $g_P = dr \otimes dr + \xi(r)^2 d\theta^2$ *expresses as*

$$\mathrm{Ric}^P = \left\{ -\xi\xi'' + (n-2)\left(1 - \left(\xi'\right)^2\right)\right\} d\theta^2 - (n-1)\frac{\xi''}{\xi} dr \otimes dr.$$

Moreover, the Hessian and the Laplacian of a radial function $\psi(r)$ *are given by*

$$\mathrm{Hess}^P \psi = \psi'\xi'\xi d\theta^2 + \psi'' dr \otimes dr$$

$$\Delta^p \psi = \psi'' + (n-1)\frac{\xi'}{\xi}\psi'.$$

Combining these two results we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ric}^M_\psi &= \left\{ -\xi\xi'' + (n-2)\left(1 - \left(\xi'\right)^2\right)\right\} d\theta^2 - (n-1)\frac{\xi''}{\xi} dr \otimes dr \\
&\quad + 2\psi'\xi'\xi d\theta^2 + 2\psi'' dr \otimes dr - \left(\psi'\right)^2 dr \otimes dr \\
&\quad + e^{-2\psi}\left\{ \psi'' + (n-1)\frac{\xi'}{\xi}\psi' \right\} dr \otimes dr \\
&= C_1 \cdot d\theta^2 + C_2 \cdot dr \otimes dr,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$C_1 = -\xi\xi'' + (n-2)\left(1 - \left(\xi'\right)^2\right) + 2\psi'\xi'\xi$$

and

$$C_2 = -(n-1)\frac{\xi''}{\xi} + 2\psi'' - \left(\psi'\right)^2 + e^{-2\psi}\left\{ \psi'' + (n-1)\frac{\xi'}{\xi}\psi' \right\}.$$

Using (31), these coefficients can be expressed in the solely variable ξ and to conclude we should select some ξ and get the corresponding explicit lower bounds.

3. Some potential theory on weighted manifolds

The aim of this section is to study potential theoretic properties, and, more precisely, f-parabolicity, of a weighted Riemannian manifold M_f with possibly empty boundary ∂M. In order to introduce the definition of f-parabolicity we need to recall the notion of weak sub (super) solution subjected to Neumann boundary conditions.

Let M_f be an weighted manifold with smooth boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ oriented by the exterior unit normal ν. The interior of M is denoted by $\mathrm{int}M = M \setminus \partial M$. By a domain in M we mean a non-necessarily connected open set $D \subseteq M$. We say that the domain D is smooth if its topological boundary ∂D is a smooth hypersurface Γ with boundary $\partial\Gamma = \partial D \cap \partial M$. Adopting the notation in [8], for any domain $D \subseteq M$ we define

$$\partial_0 D = \partial D \cap \mathrm{int}M,$$
$$\partial_1 D = \partial M \cap D$$

We will refer to $\partial_0 D$ and to $\partial_1 D$ respectively as the *Dirichlet boundary* and the *Neumann boundary* of the domain D. Finally, the *interior part* of D, in the sense of manifolds with boundary, is defined as

$$\mathrm{int}D = D \cap \mathrm{int}M,$$

so that, in particular,

$$D = \mathrm{int}D \cup \partial_1 D.$$

We recall that the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathrm{int}D_f)$ is defined as the Banach space of functions $u \in L^2(\mathrm{int}D_f)$ whose distributional gradient satisfies $\nabla u \in L^2(\mathrm{int}D_f)$. Here we are denoting by $L^2(\mathrm{int}D_f)$ the space

$$\{w \ : \ \int_D w^2 \mathrm{d}M_f < +\infty\}.$$

By the Meyers-Serrin density result, this space coincides with the closure of $C^\infty(\mathrm{int}D)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(M_f)} = \|u\|_{L^2(M_f)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M_f)}$. Moreover, when $D = M$ and M is complete, $W^{1,2}(\mathrm{int}M_f)$ can be also realised as the $W^{1,2}(M_f)$-closure of $C_c^\infty(M)$.

Finally, the space $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{int}D_f)$ is defined by the condition that $u \cdot \chi \in W^{1,2}(\mathrm{int}D_f)$ for every cut-off function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{int}D)$. We extend this notion by including the Neumann boundary of the domain as follows

$$W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(D_f) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathrm{int}\Omega_f), \ \forall \text{domain } \Omega \Subset D = \mathrm{int}D \cup \partial_1 D\}.$$

Now, suppose $D \subseteq M$ is any domain. We put the following

Definition 6. *By a weak Neumann sub-solution $u \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(D_f)$ of the f- Laplace equation, i.e., a weak solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}D \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1 D, \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

we mean that the following inequality

$$-\int_D \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dM_f \geq 0 \tag{33}$$

holds for every $0 \leq \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D)$. Similarly, by taking $D = M$, one defines the notion of weak Neumann subsolution of the f- Laplace equation on M_f as a function $u \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(M_f)$ which satisfies (33) for every $0 \leq \varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$. As usual, the notion of weak supersolution can be obtained by reversing the inequality and, finally, we speak of a weak solution when the equality holds in (33) without any sign condition on φ.

Remark 7. Analogously to the classical case, in the above definition, it is equivalent to require that (33) holds for every $0 \leq \varphi \in \mathrm{Lip}_c(D)$.

Following the terminology introduced in [8], we are now ready to give the following

Definition 8. *An weighted manifold M_f with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ oriented by the exterior unit normal ν is said to be f-parabolic if any bounded above, weak Neumann subsolution of the f-Laplace equation on M_f must be constant. Namely, for every $u \in C^0(M) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(M_f)$,*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}M \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial M \\ \sup_M u < +\infty \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \equiv \text{const.} \tag{34}$$

In the boundary-less setting it is by now well-known that f–parabolicity is related to a wide class of equivalent properties involving the recurrence of the Brownian motion, f–capacities of condensers, the heat kernel associated to the drifted laplacian, weighted volume growth, function theoretic tests, global divergence theorems and many other geometric and potential-analytic properties. All these characterization can be proven to hold true also in case of weighted manifolds with non-empty boundaries. However, here we limit ourselves to point out the following characterization.

Theorem 9. *A weighted manifold M_f is f-parabolic if and only the following maximum principle holds. For every domain $D \subseteq M$ with $\partial_0 D \neq \emptyset$ and for every $u \in C^0\left(\overline{D}\right) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(D_f)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}D \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1 D \\ \sup\limits_D u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense, it holds

$$\sup_D u = \sup_{\partial_0 D} u.$$

Moreover, if M_f is a f-parabolic manifold with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ and if $u \in C^0(M) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathrm{int}M_f)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}M \\ \sup_M u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sup_M u = \sup_{\partial M} u.$$

We refer the reader to [8, Theorem 0.9] for a detailed proof of the previous result in the unweighted setting. Although the proof of this theorem can be deduced adapting to the weighted laplacian Δ_f the arguments in [8] (indeed, all results obtained there can be adapted to the weighted case with only minor modifications of the proofs), we provide here a shorter and more elegant argument. In order to do this we will need the following preliminary fact that will be proved without the use of any capacitary argument and, therefore, can be adapted to deal also with the f-parabolicity under Dirichlet boundary conditions; see [11] for old results and recent advances on the Dirichlet parabolicity of (unweighted) Riemannian manifolds.

Proposition 10. *Let M_f be a weighted manifold with boundary ∂M oriented by the exterior unit normal ν and consider the warped product manifold $\hat{M} = M \times_{e^{-f}} \mathbb{T}$, with boundary $\partial\hat{M} = \partial M \times \mathbb{T}$ oriented by the exterior unit normal $\hat{\nu}(x,\theta) = (\nu(x), 0)$. Here we are setting $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, normalized so that* $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. *Then M_f is f-parabolic if and only if $\hat{M}$ is parabolic.*

Proof. To illustrate the argument we are going use pointwise computations for C^2 functions which however can be easily formulated in weak sense for functions in $C^0 \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}$. We also recall that the f-Laplacian Δ_f on M is related to the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\hat{\Delta}$ of $\hat{M}$ by the formula

$$\hat{\Delta} = \Delta_f + f^{-2}\Delta_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

With this preparation, assume first that $\hat{M}$ is parabolic. We have to show that any (regular enough) solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}M \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial M \\ \sup_M u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

must be constant. To this end, having selected u we simply define $\hat{u}(x,t) = u(x)$, $(x,t) \in \hat{M}$, and we observe that $\hat{u}$ satisfies the analogous problem on $\hat{M}$. Since $\hat{M}$ is parabolic, $\hat{u}$ and hence u must be constant, as required.

Conversely, assume that M_f is f-parabolic and let u be a (regular enough) solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\Delta} u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}\hat{M} \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{\nu}} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial\hat{M} = \partial M \times \mathbb{T} \\ \sup_{\hat{M}} u < +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

By translating and scaling we may assume that $\sup u = 1$ and since $\max\{u, 0\}$ is again a solution of (35), we may in fact assume that $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Let

$$\bar{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x,t)dt.$$

Recalling that rotations in $\mathbb{T}$ are isometries of $\hat{M}$, and therefore commute with the Laplacian $\hat{\Delta}$, we deduce that

$$\Delta_f \bar{u}(x) = \hat{\Delta}\bar{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\Delta} u(x,t)dt \geq 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0,$$

so, by the assumed f-parabolicity of M_f, $\bar{u}$ is constant, and

$$0 = \Delta_f \bar{u} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\Delta} u(x,t).$$

Since $\hat{\Delta}u \geq 0$ we conclude that $\hat{\Delta}u = 0$ in $\operatorname{int}\hat{M}$. Applying the above argument to u^2, which is again a solution of (35), we obtain that

$$0 = \hat{\Delta}u^2 = 2u\hat{\Delta}u + 2|\hat{\nabla}u|^2 = 2|\hat{\nabla}u|^2 \quad \text{in } \operatorname{int}\hat{M},$$

and therefore u is constant, as required. ∎

We are now ready to present the

Proof of Theorem 9. Assume first that M_f is f-parabolic. As a consequence of Proposition 10 this is equivalent to the parabolicity of $\hat{M}$ which, in turns, is equivalent to the validity of the following Ahlfors-type maximum principle (see [8, Theorem 0.9]). For every domain $\hat{D} \subseteq \hat{M}$ with $\partial_0\hat{D} \neq \emptyset$ and for every $u \in C^0(\overline{\hat{D}}) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(\hat{D})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\Delta}u \geq 0 & \text{on } \operatorname{int}\hat{D} \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \hat{\nu}} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1\hat{D} \\ \sup\limits_{\hat{D}} u < +\infty \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

in the weak sense, it holds

$$\sup_{\hat{D}} u = \sup_{\partial_0\hat{D}} u.$$

Furthermore, in case $\hat{D} = \hat{M}$, for every $u \in C^0(\overline{\hat{D}}) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(\hat{D})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\Delta}u \geq 0 & \text{on } \operatorname{int}\hat{M} \\ \sup\limits_{\hat{M}} u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense, it holds

$$\sup_{\hat{M}} u = \sup_{\partial_0\hat{M}} u.$$

In particular, if $D \subset M$ is any smooth domain with $\partial_0 D \neq \emptyset$ and if $u \in C^0\left(\overline{D}\right) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(D)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \operatorname{int}D \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1 D \\ \sup\limits_{D} u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense, then $\hat{u}(x,t) = u(x)$ is a solution of (36) on $\hat{D}\times\mathbb{T}$. Hence, the parabolicity $\hat{M}$ in the form of the Ahlfors-type maximum principle implies that

$$\sup_{D} u = \sup_{\hat{D}} \hat{u} = \sup_{\partial_0\hat{D}=\partial_0 D\times\mathbb{T}} \hat{u} = \sup_{\partial_0 D} u.$$

The same reasoning applies in case $D = M$.

Conversely, assume that For every domain $D \subseteq M$ with $\partial_0 D \neq \emptyset$ and for every $u \in C^0\left(\bar{D}\right) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(D_f)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}D \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1 D \\ \sup\limits_D u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense, it holds

$$\sup_D u = \sup_{\partial_0 D} u.$$

Suppose by contradiction that M_f is not f-parabolic. Then there exists a non-constant function $v \in C^0(M) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}(M_f)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f v \geq 0 & \text{on } \mathrm{int}M \\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial_1 M \\ \sup\limits_M v < +\infty \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense. Given $\eta < \sup_M v$ consider the domain $D_\eta = \{x \in M : v(x) > \eta\} \neq \emptyset$. We can choose η sufficiently close to $\sup_M v$ in such a way that $\mathrm{int}M \not\subseteq D_\eta$. In particular, $\partial D_\eta \subseteq \{v = \eta\}$ and $\partial_0 D_\eta \neq \emptyset$. Now, $v \in C^0\left(\overline{D_\eta}\right) \cap W^{1,2}_{loc}\left((D_\eta)_f\right)$ is a bounded above weak Neumann subsolution of the f-Laplacian equation on D_η. Moreover,

$$\sup_{\partial_0 D_\eta} v = \eta < \sup_{D_\eta} v,$$

contradicting our assumptions. ∎

From the geometric point of view, it can be proved that f–parabolicity is related to the growth rate of the weighted volume of intrinsic metric objects. Indeed, exploiting a result due to Grigor'yan, [6], one can prove the following

Proposition 11. *Let M_f be a complete weighted manifold with boundary $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. If, for some reference point $o \in M$,*

$$\mathrm{vol}_f\left(B^M_R(o)\right) = O(R^2), \quad \text{as} \quad R \to +\infty.$$

then M_f is f–parabolic.

Proof. Set $\Omega_R := B^M_R(o) \times \mathbb{T}$. Then, as a consequence of Fubini's Theorem

$$\mathrm{vol}_f(B^M_R(o)) = \int_{B^M_R(o)} \mathrm{d}M_f = \int_{\Omega_R} \mathrm{d}\hat{M} = \mathrm{vol}(\Omega_R).$$

Denote by $B^{\hat{M}}_R(\hat{o})$ the geodesic ball in $\hat{M}$ with reference point $\hat{o} = (o, \hat{t})$. Given an arbitrary point $\hat{x} = (x, t) \in \hat{M}$, let $\hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \beta) : [0, 1] \to \hat{M}$ be a curve in $\hat{M}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}(0) = \hat{o}$ and

$\hat{\alpha}(1) = \hat{x}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\hat{\alpha}) &= \int_0^1 \|\hat{\alpha}'(s)\| \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{(\alpha'(s))^2 + e^{-2f(\alpha(s))}(\beta'(s))^2}\mathrm{d}s \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \|\alpha'(s)\| \mathrm{d}s \\ &\geq \mathrm{dist}_M(x, o).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as a consequence of the previous chain of inequalities, if $\hat{x} \in B_R^{\hat{M}}(\hat{o})$, then $x \in B_R^M(o)$, which in turns implies that

$$B_R^{\hat{M}}(\hat{o}) \subseteq \Omega_R.$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{vol}(B_R^{\hat{M}}(\hat{o})) \leq \mathrm{vol}(\Omega_R) \leq CR^2$$

for R sufficiently large. The conclusion follows now from a result by Grigor'yan, [6] (see also Theorem 0.7 and Remark 0.8 in [8]) and from the fact that, as proven in Proposition 10, the parabolicity of $\hat{M}$ is equivalent to the f-parabolicity of M_f. ∎

4. Height estimates

4.1. **Some basic formulas.** We recall in the next proposition some formulas that we will be useful for the proof of the main result of this section.

Proposition 12. *Let $\left(M^{n+1}, h\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold endowed with a complete Killing vector field Y whose orthogonal distribution has constant rank n and is integrable. Let (P^n, g) be an integral leaf of the distribution and let $\Sigma = Graph\,(u)$ be a Killing graph over a smooth domain $\Omega \subset P^n$, with upward unit normal N. Set $\psi = -\log|Y|$. Then, for any constant C the following equations hold on Σ*

$$\Delta_{C\psi}^{\Sigma} u = [nH + (C-2)\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle]\, e^{2\psi}\langle Y, N\rangle, \tag{37}$$

$$\Delta_{C\psi}^{\Sigma}\langle Y, N\rangle = -\langle Y, N\rangle \left[|A|^2 + \mathrm{Ric}_{C\psi}(N, N)\right] - nY^T(H_{C\psi}), \tag{38}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$u = s|_{\Sigma},$$

so that, using $\nabla s = e^{2\psi}Y$, we have $\nabla^{\Sigma} u = \nabla^{\Sigma} s = e^{2\psi}Y^T$. Thus, letting $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T\Sigma$, and recalling that, since Y is Killing, $\langle \nabla_v Y, v\rangle = 0$ for every

vector v, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^\Sigma u &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla^\Sigma_{e_i} \nabla^\Sigma u, e_i\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} e^{2\psi} Y^T, e_i\rangle \\ &= e^{2\psi}\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i}(Y - \langle Y, N\rangle N), e_i\rangle + 2\sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, \nabla\psi\rangle\langle e_i, \nabla s\rangle \\ &= e^{2\psi}\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} Y, e_i\rangle - \langle Y, N\rangle e^{2\psi}\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_i\rangle + 2\sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, \nabla^\Sigma\psi\rangle\langle e_i, \nabla^\Sigma u\rangle \\ &= nHe^{2\psi}\langle Y, N\rangle + 2\langle \nabla^\Sigma\psi, \nabla^\Sigma u\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Equation (37) follows since, by definition,

$$\Delta^\Sigma_{C\psi} u = \Delta^\Sigma u - C\langle \nabla^\Sigma\psi, \nabla^\Sigma u\rangle.$$

As for equation (38), note that, since N is a unit normal and Y is a Killing vector field, for every vector X tangent to Σ, $\nabla_N Y$ is tangent to Σ and

$$\langle \nabla_X N, Y\rangle = \langle \nabla_X N, Y^T + \langle Y, N\rangle N\rangle = \langle \nabla_X N, Y^T\rangle = -\langle A\, Y^T, X\rangle,$$

so that

$$\langle \nabla^\Sigma\langle Y, N\rangle, X\rangle = \langle \nabla\langle Y, N\rangle, X\rangle = -\langle \nabla_N Y, X\rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_X N\rangle = -\langle \nabla_N Y + A\, Y^T, X\rangle$$

and therefore

$$\nabla^\Sigma\langle Y, N\rangle = -\nabla_N Y - AY^T.$$

Moreover, using the Codazzi equation, it is not difficult to prove that

$$\Delta^\Sigma\langle Y, N\rangle = -\big[|A|^2 + \mathrm{Ric}(N, N)\big]\langle Y, N\rangle - nY^T(H),$$

where $|A|$ denotes the norm of the second fundamental form of Σ (see, e.g., [5, Prop. 1]). Using the definition of $H_f = H + \frac{1}{n}\langle \nabla f, N\rangle$, with $f = C\psi$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}-nY^T(H) &= -nY^T(H_{C\psi}) + CY^T\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle \\ &= -nY^T(H_{C\psi}) + C\langle \nabla_Y\nabla\psi, N\rangle - C\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{Hess}\psi(N, N) + C\langle \nabla\psi, \nabla_{Y^T} N\rangle \\ &= -nY^T(H_{C\psi}) + C\langle \nabla_{\nabla\psi} Y, N\rangle - C\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{Hess}\psi(N, N) + C\langle \nabla^\Sigma\psi, \nabla_{Y^T} N\rangle \\ &= -nY^T(H_{C\psi}) + C\langle \nabla^\Sigma\psi, \nabla^\Sigma\langle Y, N\rangle\rangle - C\langle Y, N\rangle \mathrm{Hess}\psi(N, N),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used, in the order, that $\nabla_Y\nabla\psi = \nabla_{\nabla\psi} Y$, since ψ depends only on the variables in P and $Y = \partial/\partial s$, $\langle \nabla_{\nabla\psi} Y, N\rangle = -\langle \nabla_N Y, \nabla\psi\rangle$ and $\langle \nabla_N Y, N\rangle = 0$ since Y is Killing, $\langle \nabla\psi, \nabla_{Y^T} N\rangle = \langle \nabla^\Sigma\psi, \nabla_{Y^T} N\rangle$ since $\langle N, \nabla_{Y^T} N\rangle = \frac{1}{2} Y^T\langle N, N\rangle = 0$ and the

identity

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi\langle Y,N\rangle &= \langle\nabla_{\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi}Y,N\rangle+\langle Y,\nabla_{\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi}N\rangle\\ &= \langle\nabla_{\nabla\psi}Y,N\rangle-\langle N,\nabla\psi\rangle\langle\nabla_N Y,Y\rangle+\langle Y^T,\nabla_{\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi}N\rangle+\langle N,Y\rangle\langle N,\nabla_{\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi}N\rangle\\ &= \langle\nabla_{\nabla\psi}Y,N\rangle+\langle Y^T,\nabla_{\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi}N\rangle\\ &= \langle\nabla_{\nabla\psi}Y,N\rangle+\langle\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi,\nabla_{Y^T}N\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above identities into

$$\Delta^{\Sigma}_{C\psi}\langle Y,N\rangle=\Delta^{\Sigma}\langle Y,N\rangle-C\langle\nabla^{\Sigma}\psi,\nabla^{\Sigma}\langle Y,N\rangle\rangle$$

and recalling that

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{C\psi}=\mathrm{Ric}+C\mathrm{Hess}\psi$$

yield (38). ∎

4.2. **Weighted volume estimates.** In this section we extend to the weighted setting of Killing graphs, with prescribed (weighted) mean curvature, an estimate of the extrinsic volume originally obtained in [9] and [8].

Lemma 13. *Let $\Sigma=Graph\,(u)$ be a Killing graph in the ambient space $M^{n+1}=P^n\times_{e^{-\psi}}\mathbb{R}$ over a domain $\Omega\subseteq P^n$, where $\psi=-\log|Y|$. Then, for any $y_0=(x_0,t_0)\in M$ and for every $R>0$,*

$$B^M_R\,(y_0)\cap\Sigma\subseteq\Omega_R\,(x_0)\times\left\{x\in\Omega_R\,(x_0):\left|u(x)e^{-\psi(x)}-u(x_0)e^{-\psi(x_0)}\right|<R\right\}$$

where we have set

$$\Omega_R\,(x_0)=B^P_R(x_0)\cap\Omega.$$

Moreover, assume that

$$A:=\sup_{\Omega}\left|u\,(x)\,e^{-\psi(x)}\right|+\sup_{\Omega}|H_{D\psi}\,(x)|<+\infty,\tag{39}$$

for some constant $D\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a constant $C>0$, depending on n and A, such that, for every $\delta,R>0$,

$$\mathrm{vol}_{D\psi}B^{\Sigma}_R\,(y_0)\leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta R}\right)\mathrm{vol}_{D\psi}\left(\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}\,(x_0)\right),\tag{40}$$

where $y_0=\vartheta(u(x_0),x_0)\in\Sigma$ is a reference origin.

Proof. Recall that the Riemannian metric g of M writes as $g=\hat{g}+e^{-2\psi}ds^2$. Let $y_0=\vartheta(u(x_0),x_0)$ and $y=\vartheta(u(x),x)$ be points in Σ connected by the curve $\vartheta(u(\alpha(t)),\alpha(t))$, where $\alpha(t)$, $t\in[0,1]$, is an arbitrary path connecting x_0 and x in $\Omega\subseteq P$. Writing

$s(t) = u(\alpha(t))$ and recalling that the arc-length parameter on the fiber is given by $\varsigma = se^{-\psi}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \left\{ |\alpha'(t)|^2 + e^{-2\psi(\alpha(t))} s'(t)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} dt &\geq \max\left\{ \int_0^1 |\alpha'(t)| dt, \int_0^1 |s'(t)| e^{-\psi(\alpha(t))} dt \right\} \\
&\geq \max\left\{ d_P(x_0, x), \int_0^1 \left| \frac{ds}{dt} \right| \frac{d\varsigma}{ds} dt \right\} \\
&= \max\left\{ d_P(x_0, x), \int_0^1 \left| \frac{d\varsigma}{dt} \right| dt \right\} \\
&\geq \max\left\{ d_P(x_0, x), |\varsigma(y) - \varsigma(y_0)| \right\} \\
&= \max\left\{ d_P(x_0, x), \left| u(x) e^{-\psi(x)} - u(x_0) e^{-\psi(x_0)} \right| \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $y \in B_R^M(y_0) \cap \Sigma$ we deduce that $x \in \Omega_R(x_0)$ and

$$\left| u(x) e^{-\psi(x)} - u(x_0) e^{-\psi(x_0)} \right| < R.$$

This proves the first half of the Lemma.

Now we compute the volume of $B_R^\Sigma(y_0)$. Since $\pi(B_R^\Sigma(y_0)) \subset \Omega_R(x_0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}(B_R^\Sigma(y_0)) &= \int_{\pi(B_R^\Sigma(y_0))} \sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2} e^{-\psi} \, dP \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega_R(x_0)} \sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2} e^{-\psi} dP \\
&= \int_{\Omega_R(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla^P u|^2}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}} e^{-\psi} \, dP \\
&+ \int_{\Omega_R(x_0)} \frac{e^{2\psi}}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi(x)} + |\nabla^P u|^2}} e^{-\psi} dP.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

We then consider the vector field

$$Z = \rho u \frac{e^{-(D+1)\psi} \nabla^P u}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}},$$

where the function ρ is given by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_R(x_0) \\ \frac{(1+\delta)R - r(x)}{\delta R} & \text{on} \quad B_{(1+\delta)R}(x_0) \backslash B_R(x_0) \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

with $r(x)$ the distance function on P from the reference point x_0. Recalling that

$$\operatorname{div}\left\{ \frac{\nabla^P u}{\sqrt{\gamma + |\nabla^P u|^2}} \right\} = nH + \frac{\langle \nabla^P u, \nabla^P \psi \rangle}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi} + |\nabla^P u|^2}}$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div} Z &= e^{-(D+1)\psi}\left\{u\frac{\langle\nabla^P\rho,\nabla^P u\rangle}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}+\rho\frac{|\nabla^P u|^2}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}+n\rho u H_{D\psi}\right\}\\ &= e^{-D\psi}\left\{ue^{-\psi}\frac{\langle\nabla^P\rho,\nabla^P u\rangle}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}+\rho e^{-\psi}\frac{|\nabla^P u|^2}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}+n\rho u e^{-\psi}H_{D\psi}\right\}\\ &\geq e^{-D\psi}\left\{-\left|ue^{-\psi}\right|\left|\nabla^P\rho\right|+\rho e^{-\psi}\frac{|\nabla^P u|^2}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}-n\rho\left|ue^{-\psi}\right||H_{D\psi}|\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since Z has compact support in $\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}$, applying the divergence theorem and using the properties of ρ, from the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_R(x_0)}\frac{|\nabla^P u|^2}{\sqrt{e^{2\psi}+|\nabla^P u|^2}}e^{-\psi}e^{-D\psi}\,dP &\leq \frac{1}{\delta R}\int_{\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}(x_0)}\left|ue^{-\psi}\right|e^{-D\psi}\,dP\\ &\quad+n\int_{\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}(x_0)}\left|ue^{-\psi}\right||H_{D\psi}|\,e^{-D\psi}\,dP.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this latter into (41) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{vol}_{D\psi}(B_R^\Sigma(y_0)) &\leq \frac{1}{\delta R}\int_{\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}(x_0)}\left|ue^{-\psi}\right|e^{-D\psi}\,dP\\ &\quad+n\int_{\Omega_{(1+\delta)R}(x_0)}\left|ue^{-\psi}\right||H_{D\psi}|\,e^{-D\psi}\,dP.\end{aligned}$$

To conclude the desired volume estimate, we now recall that, by assumption,

$$\sup_\Omega\left|ue^{-\psi}\right|+\sup_\Omega|H_{D\psi}|<+\infty.$$

∎

Remark 14. We note for further use that if, in the previous Lemma we assume that $\inf_P\psi>-\infty$, it is immediate to see that, more generally,

$$\operatorname{vol}_{C\psi}B_R^\Sigma(y_0)\leq A\operatorname{vol}_{D\psi}(\Omega_R(x_0)),$$

for any constant $C>D$ and any $R\gg 1$.

4.3. **Killing graphs with constant weighted mean curvature.** As an application of the potential theory results proven in Section 3, we are able to get the following height estimates for Killing graphs of negtive constant weighted mean curvature over possibly unbounded domains.

Theorem 15. *Let $\left(M^{n+1}, h\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold endowed with a complete Killing vector field Y whose orthogonal distribution has constant rank n and it is integrable. Let (P^n, g) be an integral leaf of the distribution and let $\Omega \subset P$ be a smooth domain. set $\psi = -\log(|Y|)$ and assume that:*

1. $-\infty < \inf_{\overline{\Omega}} \psi < \sup_{\overline{\Omega}} \psi < +\infty$;
2. $\mathrm{vol}_\psi \left(B_R^P \cap \Omega\right) = O\left(R^2\right)$, *as* $R \to +\infty$;
3. $\mathrm{Ric}_\psi \geq 0$ *in a neighborhood of* $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\Sigma = Graph\,(u)$ a be Killing graph over Ω with constant negative weighted mean curvature H_ψ with respect to the upward pointing Gauss map. Assume that:

4. *The boundary $\partial\Sigma$ of Σ lies in the slice $P \times \{0\}$;*
5. $\sup |ue^{-\psi}| < +\infty$.

Then

$$0 \leq ue^{-\psi}(x) \leq \frac{C}{|H_\psi|},$$

where $C := e^{2(\sup \psi - \inf \psi)} \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 13, the volume growth assumption on P and assumption (5), we have that

$$\mathrm{vol}_\psi B_R^\Sigma = O\left(R^2\right), \text{ as } R \to +\infty.$$

In particular, Σ is parabolic with respect to the weighted Laplacian Δ_ψ. Note that, since H_ψ is constant, it follows by equation (37) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\psi^\Sigma(H_\psi u) &= nH_\psi H e^{2\psi}\langle Y, N\rangle - H_\psi e^{2\psi}\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle\langle Y, N\rangle \\
&= nH^2 e^{2\psi}\langle Y, N\rangle + He^{2\psi}\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle\langle Y, N\rangle \\
&-He^{2\psi}\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle\langle Y, N\rangle - \frac{1}{n}e^{2\psi}\langle \nabla\psi, N\rangle^2\langle Y, N\rangle \\
&= nH^2\gamma\langle Y, N\rangle - \frac{1}{n}\gamma\langle \overline{\nabla}\psi, N\rangle^2\langle Y, N\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous formula with equation (38), it is straightforward to prove that, under our assumptions, the function $\varphi = H_\psi u e^{-2\sup_\psi} + \langle Y, N\rangle$ satisfies

$$\Delta_\psi^\Sigma \varphi \leq 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$$

Since φ is bounded and $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, an application of the Ahlfors maximum principle gives

$$\inf_\Omega \left(H_\psi u e^{-2\sup\psi} + \langle Y, N\rangle\right) = \inf_{\partial\Omega}\langle Y, N\rangle \geq 0.$$

Combining this latter with the fact that $\langle Y, N\rangle \leq e^{-\psi}$ we get the desired upper estimate on u.

Finally, note that equation (37) can also be written as

$$\Delta_{3\psi}^\Sigma u = H_\psi e^{2\psi}\langle Y, N\rangle.$$

Since, according to Remark 14, Σ is parabolic with respect to the weighted laplacian $\Delta^{\Sigma}_{3\psi}$ and u is a 3ψ- superharmonic bounded function, the desired lower estimate on u follows again as an application of the Ahlfors maximum. ∎

Remark 16. *It is worth to point out that, if Σ^n is a Killing graph over a smooth domain $\Omega \subset P^n$ of negative constant weighted mean curvature H_ψ and $n = 2$, the curvature assumption* (3) *implies the boundedness assumption* (5)*. Indeed, as a corollary of a result due to Espinar* [4, Theorem 4.2]*, if Σ is a 2-dimensional Killing graph over a domain $\Omega \subset P^2$ of constant weighted mean curvature H_ψ and $M^3 = P^2 \times_{e^{-\psi}} \mathbb{R}$ has non-negative Perelman scalar curvature R_ψ in a neighborhood of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where*

$$R_\psi = R + 2\Delta\psi - |\nabla\psi|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ric}_\psi) + \Delta_\psi\psi,$$

then, for every $y = \vartheta(x, u(x)) \in \Sigma$ it holds

$$|u(x)e^{-\psi}| \leq \mathrm{dist}(y, \partial\Sigma) \leq C(|H_\psi|).$$

On the other hand, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\Delta_\psi\psi = e^{2\psi}\mathrm{Ric}_\psi(Y, Y).$$

Hence, the non-negativity assumption on the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor Ric_ψ *automatically implies the validity of* (5) *when $n = 2$.*

In light of the considerations above the following corollary is now straightforward.

Corollary 17. *Let $\left(M^3, h\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold endowed with a complete Killing vector field Y whose orthogonal distribution has constant rank 2 and it is integrable. Let $\left(P^2, g\right)$ be an integral leaf of the distribution and let $\Omega \subset P$ be a smooth domain. set $\psi = -\log(|Y|)$ and assume that:*

1. $-\infty < \inf_{\overline{\Omega}} \psi < \sup_{\overline{\Omega}} \psi < +\infty$*;*
2. $\mathrm{vol}_\psi\left(B^P_R \cap \Omega\right) = O\left(R^2\right)$*, as $R \to +\infty$;*
3. $\mathrm{Ric}_\psi \geq 0$ *in a neighborhood of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Let $\Sigma = Graph\,(u)$ a be Killing graph over Ω with constant negative weighted mean curvature H_ψ with respect to the upward pointing Gauss map and with boundary contained in the slice $P \times \{0\}$.
Then

$$0 \leq ue^{-\psi}\,(x) \leq \frac{C}{|H_\psi|},$$

where $C := e^{2(\sup\psi - \inf\psi)} \geq 1$.

References

1. J.A. Aledo, J.M. Espinar, and J.A. Gálvez, *Height estimates for surfaces with positive constant mean curvature in* $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), no. 1, 203–211.
2. L. J. Alías and M. Dajczer, *Constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in warped product spaces*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **50** (2007), no. 3, 511–526.
3. M. Dajczer and J. H. de Lira, *Killing graphs with prescribed mean curvature and Riemannian submersions*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **26** (2009), no. 3, 763–775.

4. J. M. Espinar, *Gradient Schrödinger operators, manifolds with density and applications*, arXiv:1209.6162v7.
5. S. Fornari and J. Ripoll, *Killing fields, mean curvature, translation maps*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 4, 1385—1403.
6. A. A. Grigor'yan, *The existence of positive fundamental solutions of the Laplace equation on Riemannian manifolds*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **128(170)** (1985), no. 3, 354–363, 446.
7. D. Hoffman, J.H.S. de Lira, and H. Rosenberg, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in $M^2 \times \mathbf{R}$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 2, 491–507 (electronic).
8. D. Impera, S. Pigola, and A. G. Setti, *Potential theory on manifolds with boundary and applications to controlled mean curvature graphs*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math. DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2014-0137.
9. P. Li and J. Wang, *Finiteness of disjoint minimal graphs*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 5-6, 771–777.
10. B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 103, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1983, With applications to relativity.
11. L. F. Pessoa, S. Pigola, and A. G. Setti, *Dirichlet parabolicity and l^1-liouville property under localized geometric conditions*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.06483.
12. A. Ros and H. Rosenberg, *Properly embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), no. 6, 1429–1443.
13. I. M. Salavessa, *Graphs with parallel mean curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), 449–458.
14. J. Spruck, *Interior gradient estimates and existence theorems for constant mean curvature graphs in $M^n \times \mathbf{R}$*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **3** (2007), no. 3, Special Issue: In honor of Leon Simon. Part 2, 785–800.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano–Bicocca Via Cozzi 53, I-20125 Milano, ITALY
E-mail address: debora.impera@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Ceará-UFC, 60455-760 Fortaleza, CE, Brazil.
E-mail address: jorge.lira@mat.ufc.br

Sezione di Matematica - DiSAT, Universitá dell'Insubria - Como, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy
E-mail address: stefano.pigola@uninsubria.it

Sezione di Matematica - DiSAT, Universitá dell'Insubria - Como, via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy
E-mail address: alberto.setti@uninsubria.it